

Rebuttal to Argument for Measure K

Please vote "NO" on Measure K. Government officials have proven the money will only pad the General Fund and likely not get spent accomplishing much.

Measure K funding is vague, using terms such as "resilient" "safer, stronger" and it is not clear exactly what actions local government officials would take with the extra \$10 million to make those promises happen.

Their list of fixes for our county includes so many projects that we already fund from making housing more affordable to safer infrastructure to supporting the county workforce. It's really just another way to fund the County General Fund and their unfunded pension liability, which is over \$43 million and coming due very soon.

Knowing tough financial times were coming, the CAO convinced the Supervisors to spend \$16.5 million to purchase a large South County Government Center with hundreds of thousands more on office retrofit construction. There are many examples of reckless spending in their history of decision making.

Local government officials have proven they are irresponsible with what voters gave them in 2018 with Measure G by failing to fund what was promised.

They are allowing the entire county to vote on this when it only is collected in the unincorporated areas.

What does that tell you about their accountability to voters?

Please vote "NO" on Measure K...it is vague, deceptive and we cannot trust local government officials to fulfill the empty promises they want us to believe...yet again.

Eric G. Kirby

Skyla Higgins

Alexandra Peters

Karen Dias

Jon Cole